Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/534,679	PERA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BRYAN WRIGHT	2431
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Non-Final</u>		
(1) <u>BRYAN WRIGHT</u> .	(3) <i>Mathew Wenli reg. no. 63,173</i> .	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 March 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☑ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 103(a)		
Claims discussed: independent claims 1 and 8		
Prior art documents discussed: Finley et al. (US Patent No. 6,442,448), Carapelli (US Patent No. 6,119,110).		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed claim amendments to overcome prior art and place the application in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/BRYAN WRIGHT/ Examiner, Art Unit 2431	Applicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)